Board of Education Mesa County Valley School District 51

Regular Meeting February 10, 2009

Minutes & Work Session Summary



A - Diann Rice B - Cindy Enos-Martinez						Board of Education	
B - Cin C - Har D - Les	ry Bu	ıtler		nez		Mesa County Valley School District 51	
E - Ror						Regular Meeting Minutes/Work Session Summary: February 10, 2009	
	Α	В	С	D	Е	Adopted: March 24, 2009	
							ACTION
						Business Meeting	
Present Absent	x	х	х	X	x	A. Meeting Call to Order/Roll Call [Mrs. Enos-Martinez absent/excused]	6:02 pm
Motion Second Aye No	x x		х	x	x	 B. 2009 – 2010 Traditional Calendar Mr. Rowley asked about possible changes to the calendar at this time. Dr. Mills indicated changes could be made; however, the adoption of the traditional calendar is desired as soon as possible for district-wide communication. Mrs. Rice stated she is impressed with the large amount of information that is condensed into the one-page format. 	Adopted
Motion Second Aye No	x x		x	x	x	 C. High School Math Curriculum Adoption [Resolution 08/09:57] Board members acknowledged their support for the adoption and the staff development provided for teachers. Ms. Cathie Gonzales was present to answer any further questions. 	Adopted
Motion Second Aye No	x		XX	x	x	 D. Performance Contract [Resolution 08/09:71] > Board members voiced support for the contract as being a step in the right direction in improving energy efficiency and saving money that can be redirected to the classroom. > Mr. Cal Clark commented that Excel Energy has indicated the District can expect a sizeable rebate associated with the proposed technical energy audits. > The Board and Superintendent thanked Mr. Clark and Mr. Eric Anderson for their work in this area. > A representative for Trane, Mr. Jim Knutson, thanked the Board for the opportunity to serve the District. Introduction: Dr. Mills introduced Ms. Dawn Hodges to the Board. She is the new Assistant Executive Director of Human 	Adopted
Motion Second Aye No	×		×	x	××	Resources. C. Adjourn Work Session Summary Electronic Data System: Mr. Sean Taylor, Ms. Amy Vagher, & Mr. Steve Schultz An intra-net presentation was provided. Mr. Taylor indicated the purpose of the system is to provide "onestop shopping" for teachers and administrators, to provide them with useful data in a user-friendly way.	Adjourned 6:08 pm

A - Diann Rice	Board of Education			
B - Cindy Enos-Martinez C - Harry Butler	Mesa County Valley School District 51			
D - Leslie Kiesler E - Ron Rowley	Regular Meeting Minutes/Work Session Summary: February 10, 2009			
A B C D E	Adopted: March 24, 2009	Г		
		ACTION		
	 The report provided information regarding CSAP analysis, tools available, the objectives, and how the standards are applied in the CSAP assessment. Examples were provided and discussed as the presentation was given. Mr. Taylor acknowledged the work of Randy Martens, in the Assessment Office, for his work and expertise in putting the information all together. It was emphasized the tools demonstrated are for teachers to use for their students; student information is confidential. For this presentation live data was not utilized. The Achievement Profile is being worked on to be more user friendly for public information. Further discussion included the color-coding on the reports, and what data is available on Parent Bridge, compared to the data system. The Board and the Superintendent extended their appreciation for the work that is being accomplished in this area. Overcrowding Solutions Committee Report: Stacey Mascarenas & Kevin LaDuke Dr. Mills acknowledged the marvelous job the committee has done. The committee members, seated in the front row, were recognized for their service. A PowerPoint presentation was given, reporting the following: The challenges: District 51 is over or at capacity in 25 of its 38 schools, District 51 had a net increase of 120 students in January, District 51 expects to continue to grow, it is unclear when District 51 will have construction money to address these issues, and there is a great amount of uncertainty because of the national economy. The schools with the largest overcrowding challenges. (Listed on page 3) The committee membership comprised of principals, parents, teachers and administration. Three sub-committees were formed to explore possible solutions to determine the use of current facilities and land, other facilities available in the community, and alternative schedules. The following recommendations were provided: There is no single di			

A - Diann Rice	Board of Education	
B - Cindy Enos-Martinez C - Harry Butler	Mesa County Valley School District 51	
D - Leslie Kiesler E - Ron Rowley	Regular Meeting Minutes/Work Session Summary: February 10, 2009	
A B C D E	Adopted: March 24, 2009	
	7.doptod: Water 21, 2000	ACTION
	 Need to implement solutions as actual student 	ACTION
	counts are available; Need to continue to share solutions across buildings; Need to implement solutions on a year-by-year basis. Viable Options were presented for the schools with the largest overcrowding challenges: Rim Rock Orchard Avenue Shelledy Pomona Rocky Mountain Thunder Mountain Grand Mesa Middle Mt. Garfield Middle Central High School Fruita 8/9 Additional Recommendations: Short term remedies recommended today will not	
	 fix the long-term issues; If growth continues and no capital funds are available these remedies will not suffice; Study, research and investigate various multitrack, flex and split session models, including visiting other school districts; Continue monitoring building and district student levels; Reconvene a similar group annually to review overcrowding issues. Board members discussed their concerns about the quality of education for our students in a limited space environment. Discussion included busing students, utilizing instructional assistants, adding teachers, remodeling in the buildings or adding modular classrooms, the probability of having to forsake the "small schools" philosophy, and the uncertainty of the economy. State funding for schools and the continuing need for bond election funds were also discussed. Dr. Mills concluded the discussion by asking the Board to consider the viable options for the 10 schools, identified in the report, and to provide direction at the Board's Open Discussion time, during the Business Meeting on February 17. The Board indicated their desire to meet with the principals of the 10 schools at that time. Mrs. Callahan deVita can be contacted for further questions or additional information. 	

A - Diann Rice B - Cindy Enos-Martinez C - Harry Butler D - Leslie Kiesler			nez		Board of Education Mesa County Valley School District 51			
D - Leslie Kiesler E - Ron Rowley						Regular Meeting Minutes/Work Session Summary: February 10, 2009		
A B C D E				D	E	Adopted: March 24, 2009		
							ACTION	
						Jamie Sidanycz, Secretary Board of Education	Work Session Concluded: 8:02 pm	

AUGUST 2009								
S	M	Т	W	Т	F	S		
2	3	4	5	6	7	1 8		
9	10	11	T	T	T	15		
16	W	W	19	20	21	22		
23	24	25	26	27	28	29		
30	31							

SEPTEMBER 2009								
S	M	T	W	T	F	S		
		1	2	3	4	5		
6	Н	8	9	10	11	12		
13	14	15	16	17	Е	19		
20	21	22	23	24	25	26		
27	28	29	30					

OCTOBER 2009								
S	M	T	W	T	F	S		
				1	2	3		
4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
11	12	13	14	•	W	17		
18	19	20	21	С	С	24		
25	26	27	28	29	30	31		

	NOVEMBER 2009								
S	M	T	W	T	F	S			
1	2	E/ M	4	5	6	7			
8	9	10	11	12	13	14			
15	16	17	18	19	20	21			
22	23	24	25	Н	Н	28			
29	30								

DECEMBER 2009								
S	M T W T F S							
		1	2	3	4	5		
6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
13	14	15	16	17	•	19		
20	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	26		
27	Н	Н	Н	Н				

JANUARY 2010								
S	M T W T F S							
					Н	2		
3	W	5	6	7	8	9		
10	11	12	13	14	15	16		
17	Н	19	20	21	22	23		
24 31	25	26	27	28	29	30		

	FEBRUARY 2010								
S	M T W T F S								
	1	2	3	4	Е	6			
7	8	9	10	11	12	13			
14	T	16	17	18	19	20			
21 28	22	23	24	25	26	27			

MARCH 2010								
S	M	T	W	T	F	S		
	1	2	3	4	•	6		
7	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	13		
14	W	16	17	18	19	20		
21	22	23	24	25	26	27		
28	29	30	31					

APRIL 2010						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
				1	Е	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	

	MAY 2010						
S	M	T	W	Т	F	S	
2	3	4	5	C	C	1 8	
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	
16	17	18	19	20	21	22	
23	•	W	26	27	28	29	
30	Н						

	JUNE 2010						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S	
		1	2	3	4	5	
6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	
27	28	29	30				

JULY 2010						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

Mesa County Valley School District 51 TRADITIONAL CALENDAR 2009-2010 School Year

SCHOOLS NOT IN SESSION

Teacher Inservice All Schools

(4 days per school year) Wednesday, August 12, 2009 Thursday, August 13, 2009 Friday, August 14, 2009 Monday, February 15, 2010

Parent/Teacher Conferences All Schools

October 22 and 23, 2009 May 6 and 7, 2010

Teacher Workdays All Schools

(6 days per school year) Monday and Tuesday, August 17 and 18, 2009 Friday, October 16, 2009 Monday, January 4, 2010 Monday, March 15, 2010 Tuesday, May 25, 2010

Teacher Planning Days Elementary Schools ONLY

(Secondary Schools Are in Session)

Friday, September 18, 2009 Tuesday, November 3, 2009 Friday, February 5, 2010 Friday, April 2, 2010

ALL SCHOOL HOLIDAYS

September 7 Labor Day
November 26 and 27Thanksgiving
December 21 - January 2 Winter Break
January 18 ... Martin Luther King Day
March 8-12... Spring Break

KEY

T	Teacher Inservice All Schools
C	Parent/Teacher Conferences All Schools
E	Teacher Planning - Elementary School ONLY Secondary Schools are in Session
W	Teacher Workdays All Schools
E/M	Elementary Planning Day/Middle School Inservice Day/ High Schools in Session
Н	All Schools Holidays
•	End of Quarter

Statistical Record Data - All Schools

Classes Begin	August 19, 2009
First Quarter Ends	October 15, 2009 (40 ES/41 MS/41 HS)
Second Quarter Ends	December 18, 2009 (40 ES/40 MS/41 HS)
Third Quarter Ends	
Fourth Quarter Ends	May 24, 2010 (47 ES/48 MS/48 HS)

General Staff Information

High School Principals First Day	July 15, 2009
Middle School Principals First Day	July 29, 2009
Elementary School Principals First Day	July 29, 2009
Teachers First Day	August 12, 2009
Teachers Last Day	May 25, 2010
Elementary School Principals Last Day	June 8, 2010
Middle School Principals Last Day	June 8, 2010
High School Principals Last Day	June 22, 2010



Adopted: February 10, 2009



Mesa County Valley School District 51

High School Math Resource Adoption

Board of Education Resolution: 08/09: 57

Superintendent's Report: December 16, 2008

Adopted: February 10, 2009

WHEREAS, the current math textbooks in use for high schools were adopted between 1995 and 2001; and

WHEREAS, a common, standards-based district resource is needed that aligns with National and State Content and Process Standards, and with district supporting documents to prepare students with 21st Century Skills; and

WHEREAS, the Office of Curriculum and Professional Learning and the Math Teams recommends the following textbooks for adoption consideration:

Textbook Title	Publisher	Copyright	Cost
Core Plus	Glencoe/McGraw-Hill	Core 1 & 2: 2008	\$63.00
		Core 3 & 4: 2009	

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED that the Board of Education adopts the textbooks titled above for high school math courses.

I hereby certify that the information contained in the above resolution is accurate and was adopted by the Mesa County Valley School District No. 51 Board of Education on February 10, 2009.

Jamie Sidanycz Secretary, Board of Education

Mesa County Valley School District No. 51

Technical Energy Audit Agreement TRANE U.S., INC.

Board of Education Resolution: 08-09:71

Adopted: February 10, 2009

WHEREAS, the District desires to contract for a District-wide technical energy audit (TEA) and recommendations pertaining to measures that would generate significant utility cost savings and operation and maintenance cost savings in connection with its buildings and other facilities; and

WHEREAS, TRANE U.S., INC. (Trane) is on the Governor's Energy Office list of pre-approved Energy Service Companies (ESCO), with demonstrated experience in conducting energy cost analysis and recommendations, and in the design and implementation of utility conservation measures in connection with public buildings and facilities; and

WHEREAS, Trane is uniquely qualified to perform energy audit services for the District because the heating, ventilation and air conditioning systems installed in a large number of the District buildings already utilize Trane's equipment and control systems; and

WHEREAS, the administration has negotiated the attached Technical Energy Audit Contract (Contract) with Trane under which the latter shall perform the TEA and prepare a project proposal for design and implementation of recommended measures to achieve certain guaranteed cost savings and operation and maintenance cost savings sufficient to offset or exceed the cost of such measures; and

WHEREAS, the Contract further provides that the District shall not be obligated to pay Trane a separate fee for its work in performing the District-wide TEA and developing the project proposal, provided that it timely accepts Trane's proposal, in which case compensation for Trane's work under the Contract may be deferred and incorporated into a subsequent utility cost-savings contract to be negotiated between the parties; and

WHEREAS, the District has no obligation under the Contract to accept the proposal Trane submits or to enter into any further contract with Trane, but in the event it does not accept such proposal and enter into a subsequent utility cost-savings contract, then the District will be obligated to pay Trane a fee of \$223,516 (based on the rate of \$0.10 per square foot) as compensation for Trane's work pursuant to the Contract; and

WHEREAS, the administration has recommended that the Contract be entered into on a negotiated basis thereby requiring, under Policy DJE, that the Board expressly waive application of the competitive bidding requirements of Board policy; and

WHEREAS, the Board has been fully advised as to the reasons therefor, and deems the same to be adequate justification for waiving the competitive bidding requirements of Board policy, and finds that it is in the interest of the District to enter into the Contract.

NOW, THEREFORE, RESOLVED that the Board of Education approves the attached Contract, and the President and Secretary of the Board are hereby authorized and directed to enter into said Contract on behalf of the Board, without compliance with the competitive bidding requirements of Policy DJE.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and was adopted by the Mesa County Valley School District 51 Board of Education on February 10, 2009.

Jamie Sidanycz Secretary, Board of Education